

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,092	07/08/2003	Pascale Lazzeri	05725.1209-00000	8346
22852	7590 05/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			MRUK, BRIAN P	
LLP 901 NEW Y	ULP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummers	10/614,092	LAZZERI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian P. Mruk	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, eply within the statutory minimulated will apply and will expire SIX ute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	June 2004.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideratio					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		` ′			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receive nts have been receive iority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/03</u>. 	8) Pap 5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:	D-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 24-41 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 24 recites the limitation "wherein the at least one conditioner" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner suggests that claim 24 should be amended to depend from claim 23 to provide proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.
- 5. Instant claim 66 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for containing the phrase "composition according to claim 65". Specifically, the examiner notes that instant claim 65 should be amended to recite "method according to claim 65". Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

Art Unit: 1751

6. Instant claims 25-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for being dependent from a claim with the above addressed 112 problem (i.e. claim 24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dubief et al, FR 2,795,953.

 Dubief et al, FR 2,795,953, discloses a cosmetic composition comprising lauryl ether sulfate with 2.2 moles of ethylene oxide, cocoylbetaine, inulin, hydroxyethyl cellulose, polydimethylsiloxane, xanthan gum, and adjunct ingredients (See Example 1). It is further taught by Dubief et al that the composition may also contain vitamins (see page

Application/Control Number: 10/614,092

Art Unit: 1751

35, lines 24-30), and that the composition is used in a method for treating hair (see abstract), per the requirements of the instant invention. Also note Examples 2-4. Absent a full translation, instant claims 1-66 are anticipated by Dubief et al, FR 2,795,953.

In the alternative that the above disclosure is insufficient to anticipate the above listed claims, it would have nonetheless been obvious to the skilled artisan to produce the claimed composition, as the reference teaches each of the claimed ingredients within the claimed proportions.

- 10. The examiner notes that the references cited in the International Search Report as "X" references are cumulative to the art rejections of record, and thus, have not been applied in this Office action in accordance with MPEP 706.02.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/614,092

Art Unit: 1751

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

BPM Brian Mruk May 2, 2005

Brian P. Mruk
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700

Page 5